## S. ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

2	Annual evaluations are a part of an on-going process of Faculty development and goal
3	setting. Annual evaluations must be conducted every year regardless of budgetary
4	conditions surrounding merit pay increases. The annual evaluation of Faculty for merit pay
5	eonsideration is based upon the accomplishments of Faculty Members in the areas of teaching
6	effectiveness, academic support/advising, scholarship, professional activity, and service during
7	the academic year preceding the time of evaluation. This evaluation does not supersede
8	probationary, promotion, or tenure review decisions that were made in the same academic year.
9	Student evaluations (see Appendix I) will be may be used as flags-indicators for areas of
10	development in teaching. weakness and strength in teaching.
11	Utilizing the format in Appendix F, the Faculty Member shall outline/list his or her
12	goals for Teaching (instructional delivery and academic support/advising), Scholarship
13	(research/performance/editorial work and professional activity), and Service
14	(departmental, college and university). This shall be submitted to the Department
15	Chair in electronic format by May 26. September 15. The Faculty Member has the
16	option of requesting a meeting to clarify any issues. The Chair shall request a meeting
17	with the Faculty Member if he or she has any concerns or questions about the goals.
18	Failure of the Department Chair to request this meeting within one week of their
19	submission implies the goals are acceptable and appropriate.
20	During the first week of the spring semester, Faculty may request a re-alignment of
21	weightings and adjust goals. Between April 1 and April 15, (specific date to be
22	established by individual Department Chairs) the Faculty Member shall update

23	Appendix F and explain how each goal was accomplished and/or offer brief
24	explanations of the status of each goal and send it electronically to the Department
25	Chair. The Department Chairs will respond to each criterion and provide an
26	appropriate rating. Quality teaching, scholarship, and service will be evaluated as defined
27	by departmental standards available from the department chair. (See Section III: M.l.,
28	General Criteria for Evaluation)
29	An over-all rating will be determined by examining all of their criteria and their
30	respective weightings. The over-all rating will serve as the basis for raises (if available)
31	and post tenure review. The Chair's completed evaluation will be provided to the Faculty
32	member by May 15. The Chair -Faculty MUST schedule a meeting with the Faculty
33	member-Chair if any area receives a score of "Fails to Meet Expectations" or IF the
34	Faculty Member requests it. That meeting must be completed by May 26. Faculty who
35	receive a less than satisfactory rating for teaching performance from the department chair will
36	not be considered for general salary increases.
37	Any Faculty Member who receives an overall rating of "Fails to Meet Expectations" in
38	an annual performance review shall work with the Department Chair to develop goals for
39	the upcoming year to address issues that resulted in the unsatisfactory evaluation. If the
40	Faculty Member is receiving an over-all score of "Fails to Meet Expectations" for the
41	second time in three years, he or she will be placed in Post-tenure Review. (Refer to V-Post
42	Tenure Review in the FPPM)
43	
44	Faculty Members will submit a self-evaluation form (Appendix F) and a self-evaluation of
45	professional teaching development to the department chair by October 1. prior to the review

46	conference with the chair. Department chairs will evaluate Members of their departments and
47	hold a conference with each of them during the spring semester.
48	Faculty who receive a less than satisfactory "fails to meet expectations" rating for teaching
49	performance from the department chair will not be considered for general salary increases.
50	Faculty who receive a rating of Needs Improvement for teaching performance from the
51	department chair will only be eligible for a less than average salary increase. Faculty who receive
52	a rating of Needs Improvement for teaching performance from the department chair for two
53	consecutive academic years will not be considered for general salary increases.
54	College deans are responsible for ensuring equitable application of standards among college
55	departments, and the Vice President for Academic Affairs is responsible for ensuring the same
56	equity throughout the University. After consideration of all recommendations and available
57	funds by the deans and the Vice President for Academic Affairs, the deans shall, in consultation
58	with their department chairs, develop specific monetary recommendations for salary increases.
59	
60	Academic Year Timeline for Faculty Annual Performance Evaluation Review
61	September 15 — Goals outlines and submitted electronically to Department Chair,
62	utilizing the form in Appendix F.
63	September 15 – Faculty member may request a realignment of weightings and
64	adjust goals submitted in May.
65	First week of spring semester – Faculty member may request a re-alignment of
66	weightings and adjust goals.

67	April 1 - April 15 – (specific date to be established by individual Department
68	Chairs) - Faculty shall submit Appendix F indicating their accomplishments or
69	progress on each goal.
70	May 15 – Department Chair shall address each criterion on Appendix F and return
71	it to the Faculty Member.
72	May 26 - If any area received a score of "Fails to Meet Expectations" or the Faculty
73	Member requests it, the Faculty Member must meet with the Department Chair prior
74	to May 26.
75	May 26 - In preparation for the next academic year, Faculty shall outline goals and
76	submit them electronically Goals outlined and submitted electronically to Department
77	Chair, utilizing the form in Appendix F.
78	April 10-14 Approximately three weeks before classes end, Student Assessment of Instruction
79	forms will be distributed in classes.
80	May 1 Student Assessment of Instruction data will be available to department chairs for all courses
81	except those taught by the Department Chair.
82	The day following the deadline for submission of grades, Student Assessment of Instruction data will
83	be available to Faculty and department chairs for the courses taught by them.
84	May 26 Last day for annual review meetings between department chairs and Faculty.
85 86	
87	June 15 Faculty contracts issued.
88	